IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No. 10373 of 1998

Between:

1 Y.Ramalakshmamma D/o Y.Nagi Reddy
D.No.52/182-D Bastian Raod,
Kurnool.
2 Y.Sridevi D/o Y.Rameswar Reddy
D.No.65-30-A fort, Kurnool. ..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Telecom District Manager,
Department of Telecommunications, Kurnool., A.P.
2 The Union of India , represented by its Secretary,
Ministry of Telecommunications, New Delhi.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or order holding that the criteria followed by the first respondent in respect of the allotments of STD and Public Telephone Booths in Pursuance of notification dated 24/04/1997 as illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable and unconstitutional and further issue a consequential directions to the respondents to allot the STD Public Telephone Booths to the Unemployed, Physically Handicapped, Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes on the basis of Eligibility.

Counsel for the Petitioner : Mr.K.SOMA KONDA REDDY

Counsel for Respondents : Mr.RAMAKRISHNA RAO,

Addl.Central Govt. Standing Counsel

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no cause survives in this writ petition and it has become infructuous.

Recording the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA, J

Date: 23.3.2005

DA

To

1 The Telecom District Manager,
Department of Telecommunications, Kurnool., A.P.
2 The Secretary, Union of India, Ministry of Telecommunications,
New Delhi.

3 Two CD copies.